UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,914 11/26/2003		Israel Raz	132076UL (12553-1020)	1899	
45436 DEAN D. SMA	7590 01/16/200 JLL	9	EXAMINER		
	PATENT LAW GROU	MARTINEZ, DAVID E			
ST. LOUIS, MC	MEC, STE. 725T D 63105		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2181		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/16/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/722,914	RAZ, ISRAEL	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DAVID E. MARTINEZ	2181	

	DAVID E. MARTINEZ	2181	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavir al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth the ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed the following rejection of the following rejection of			•
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Niketa I. Patel/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2181	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 12/24/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's arguments in remarks page 9 first paragraph, the Examiner notes that AAPA is being relied upon to teach "storing the data object in a first memory if the peripheral device is not accessible, not active, and not available to accept the data object." AAPA is not relied upon to teach storing data in a second memory. AAPA [paragraph 3] discloses that within portable devices (medical devices), "built-in removable media, which is used to transfer data from the ultrasound imaging system to the peripheral devices, usually is not included". It is this built-in removable media (a first memory) that stores data within the medical device that since it's removable, it is used at a later time to transfer said stored data (with the media being removed from the medical device) to a peripheral device when said peripheral device is accessible, available or active.

In response to Applicant's arguments in remarks page 9 second paragraph, the Examiner notes that Nakagiri is being relied upon to teach "storing a data object in a second memory to be output to a peripheral device, wherein the second memory is not a component of the peripheral device." Nakagiri Is not relied upon to teach "if the peripheral device is accessible and available, and storing the data object in a different (first) memory if the peripheral device is not accessible and not available", it is AAPA that is used to disclose said limitation as shown above. Applicant is correct in concluding that Nagakiri [figs 1-3, paragraph 65] teaches "a RAM 102 for outputting data related to a print process to a printer" which is the equivalent of "storing a data object in a second memory (RAM 102) to be output to a peripheral device (a printer), wherein the second memory (RAM 102 is found inside a host) is not a component of the peripheral device (a printer). In response to Applicant's argument is remarks pages 9 (last paragraph) to page 10, RAM 102 is by definition is a volatile memory that only holds data temporarily, whereas the built-in removable media of AAPA is a non-volatile memory and holds data for a longer time RAM does. Also, RAM 102 is used to hold data for its execution and the built-in removable media is being used to store/save data later use when detached and has no power to it (long term storage). These differences constitute them as being a second memory and a first memory respectively. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "there is nothing in either AAPA or Nakagiri that describes storing the data object in the built-in media, instead of the RAM 102, if the peripheral device is not accessible and not available to accept the data object") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

In response to Applicant's arguments in remarks page 10, second paragraph, the fact that RAM 102 is a volatile memory and the built-in removable memory is non-volatile as explained above teaches how by their own characteristics the built-in removable memory stores data for longer than RAM memory 102. If the combined system was to shut off, the data in RAM will be cleared whereas the data in the built-in memory is stored for later used or for external transfer.

It is for the above reasons that the claims stand rejected..